



685 S. Arthur Ave., Unit 12B, Louisville, CO 80027 | 303.952.5080 | www.essenza-arch.com

Feburary 11, 2021 10:00 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.

Sundance Hills Metro District Pool and Park Renovation

Meeting Minutes:

- 1. In attendance:
 - a. (EA) Christa, Alyssa and John
 - (SH) Sarah Shepherd, JC Chambers, Patrick Wisneski, Nick Bear, Paul Cucci, Donna Johnson, Tom Prog, Betsy Holley, Amy Fehr, Lisa Wanger, Wade Young, Jack Campbell, Lindsay Moriarity, Michael Draudt, Jeffery Erb, Allyson Ross, Sean Hatzenbuehler
 - c. (KH) Mike Leuteneker, Dan Skeehan

2. Project Approach

- a. Discussed the proposal and process in order to advance the project to the next step in the facility assessment and master plan design process.
- b. Jeff Erb discussed how the project got started and funded. It was stated that the money for this project was a ballot issue that was voted on and approved in November. It was mentioned that the approved budget is \$2.1 to \$2.2 million, for all soft and hard project costs.
- c. Discussed examples of current and past master plans and explained what will be included in it.
- d. Discussed that the goal at this stage is to assess priorities of the Sundance Hills team, and what is in budget
- e. Preliminary discussions the high dive seems to be a priority. It was stated that it currently does not meet code.
- f. The report from the aquatics engineer will help to determine what can be done within the framework of the budget to improve the high dive.
- g. Went over what to expect from the feasibility report. Engineers may have a different list of priorities than the working group as certain items may require attention due to code requirements.

3. Schedule

- a. Went over the detailed schedule provided to the team and noted key dates.
- b. The board meeting in March may need to be rescheduled due to spring break.
- c. At the board meeting on April 21st we will be at the point to receive final direction as to proceed with design documents for the project.
- d. Discussed that the possibility of starting construction by Labor Day of this year. It was noted that might be possible dependent on phasing and scope. Certain items that may not require planning department review could potentially start construction by Labor Day, but exterior improvements that require planning department review may need to start later due to long planning review timeframes (usually 3-6 months).
- e. Discussed final completion may be as late as summer of 2023 depending on scope and planning review timelines.

These minutes constitute our understanding of the discussions and conclusions reached. Please advise the writer within (4) days of any corrections or exceptions.

- 4. Capital improvement plan priorities and budget.
 - a. Reviewed the updated the capitol improvement list posted on the District's website and noted that all items listed will be addressed in our assessment.
 - b. Team member inquired about adding a concessions area similar to Cherry Creek Vista Pool. It was noted that the Cherry Creek Vista Pool can support a full-time vendor because their membership is about twice that of Sundance Hills Pool.
 - c. Discussed the application of sustainability items, such as solar electricity/heating technology into the project. EA noted that is something that can be reviewed by our consultants. However, because of our current budget and the upfront cost, solar power may not be feasible. EA will reach out to Michael Draudt, who works in the solar industry, to discuss future possibilities. It was noted that the electric bill was about \$1800 a month and the amount of solar panels may be able to offset the cost, but likely would not be enough to sell energy back to the electric company. It was noted that the Veteran's Memorial pool uses PV to heat the pool and could be a good reference.
 - d. Discussed the process of determining the hierarchy of the list of improvements. It was noted that it was determined through years of planning, studies, community surveys, and operational needs.
 - e. Discussed pool length, meters vs. yards. Sundance does not host pre-lim meets because their pool is measured in yards. Noted that hosting pre-lim meets may not be desired as it is not a source of much revenue. The team noted that it would be more beneficial to add width (lanes) instead of length to a pool. The Aquatic engineer will explore these options in more detail in their assessment report.

f.

5. Board Member Discussion Items

- a. Loan options for the budget was discussed. The ownership group is to decide depending on the most favorable terms that are offered. They may be able to receive financing by the mid to end of the summer. The loan commitment process typically takes 90 days.
- b. Discussed process of keeping the Sundance community informed on project. It was noted they would be informed by email, board meetings and district website. Working group members will also be included in the bi-weekly meetings with the architect.
- c. Discussed how the budget will affect the property taxes. It was noted there should be an additional 6.6 mils of additional property tax.
- d. A resident asked if Cherry Creek Vista pool is considered a competitor. It was noted the main drivers of membership are proximity and familiarity and not usually amenities so they are likely not a competitor.

Action Items: Action By:

1. Next Working Group Meeting

2/25/21